

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/976,329	10/12/2001	Lilla Boroczky	US 010004	9217
24737	7590 05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			KOSTAK, VICTOR R	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	
		DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/976,329	BOROCZKY ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Victor R. Kostak	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory procipion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 60) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	October 2004.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6,11-14 and 19-22</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 7-10, 15-18 and 23-25</u> is/are restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction.	ejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pri	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/05 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2614

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/05/04, bearing in mind the amended claim language, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The previous rejections accordingly still apply. Applicant's arguments are addressed in the context of the rejections.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 15, 17, 18 and 23 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fogg. New claim 25 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fogg.

Reviewing Fogg (noting particularly Figs. 4, 6 and 11-13), he enhances the image quality of an initially encoded video signal based on digital processing, by incorporating a useful metric processor (component 622 in Fig. 6) which determines the useable amount of enhancement that can be applied to the initially encoded video signal without enhancing coding artifacts (e.g. col. 3 lines 35-61; col. 4 lines 38-41; col. 12 line 63 – col. 13 line 3 and lines 31-35; col. 19 lines 10-16).

Applicant argues that Fogg does not discuss determining an amount of video enhancement that can be applied without enhancing coding artifacts.

Firstly, identification of an "amount" of enhancement is not exactly a quantified or quantifiable measure but in practical degrees. Application of enhancement is done more so in

Application/Control Number: 09/976,329

Art Unit: 2614

relative levels, wherein the visual perception of imagery is enhanced in degrees rather than in quantified units. With this in mind, Fogg does determine the extent of enhancement that can be used, as he determines the "most effective applications of enhancement processing and/or to avoid the creation of artifacts as a result of enhancement processing" (col. 13 lines 33-35). The "most effective" applications of the enhancement processing corresponds to the amount of enhancement processing.

Secondly, knowledge of the optical pathway (to which applicant refers in his arguments) is only part of the decision making. In the same text, Fogg points out that existing artifacts that are to be corrected (i.e. the enhancement thereof resulting from the enhancement of the image data being kept to a minimum) are a result of *encoding* (col. 13 lines 32-33). Block edges are inherent in block coding (a basic stage in MPEG coding) and qualify as noise because they are not image data. However, they only qualify as artifacts when they are perceivable. When image enhancement is applied to MPEG coded data, as disclosed by Fogg, the block edges become more noticeable or enhanced. Fogg counters that artifact enhancement by determining plural and varied metrics (noting again col. 4 lines 38-41; col. 12 line 63 – col. 13 line 3 and lines 31-35; col. 19 lines 10-16 cited previously, and in addition col. 17 lines 1-7 and col. 19 lines 1-9). The metrics all involve coding information. Such information is not limited to coding parameters such as quantization steps, macroblock types or forward motion vectors. Coding information is information involving some stage used in a coding process, thereby meeting claims 1, 9 and 17.

As for claims 2, 10 and 18, enhancer 303 can include a sharpening kernel (col. 8 line 64 – col. 9 line 1 describing prior art system), wherein Fogg discloses edge enhancing (i.e. sharpness) processing (e.g. Fig. 12c) that accordingly generates gain data based on plural various metrics.

Art Unit: 2614

As for claims 7, 15 and 23, coded data is used to determine the metrics (col. 12 line 59 – col. 13 line 3).

Regarding new claim 25, one of the metrics used by Fogg is motion vectors, discussed previously, (which could be forward or backward in MPEG coding).)

Claims 1, 8, 9, 16, 17 and 24 also stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being 3. anticipated by Mancuso et al.

Reviewing Mancuso, he also enhances the image quality of an initially encoded video signal based on digital processing, by incorporating a useful metric processor (component 104 in Fig. 1) which determines the useable amount of enhancement that can be applied to the initially encoded video signal without enhancing coding artifacts (e.g. col. 1 lines 55-58; col. 3 lines 24-28).

Applicant argues that the "Sobel-like operators" do not qualify as coding information. The examiner disagrees by countering that the operators indeed qualify as coding information because the operators provide information used in the coding process. "Coding information" is not restricted to MPEG parameters such as quantization steps, or macroblock types, or motion vectors. Claims 1, 9 and 17 remain rejected.

As for claims 8, 16 and 24, the metrics involves coding data as well as content data (i.e. image attributes of various types as specified in col. 3 lines 22-24).

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,329 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Applicant is informed that Scognamiglio is also particularly relevant to the claims because he discloses enhancing signal quality of an initially coded video signal without enhancing coding artifacts.

- 5. Claims 3-6, 11-14 and 19-22 remain allowable over the prior art.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor R. Kostak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7348. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 308-HELP.

L. Wistor P. Kosts

Victor R. Kostak Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614 Application/Control Number: 09/976,329

Art Unit: 2614

VRK

Page 7